IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLN.NO.117 OF 2007
IN
CRI.APPLICATION NO.444 OF 2006
IN
CRI.APPLICATION NO.1413 OF 2006

Abhishek Ambuj Kasliwal ..Applicant
Vs.
State of Maharashtra ..Respondents

...

Mr.A.P.Mundargi i/b Khaitan and Jaykar for applicant
Mr.Rajesh More A.P.P. for the State

...

CORAM: SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.
DATE : APRIL 9, 2007

P.C.

1. Heard the learned senior advocate for the applicant and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The applicant has been granted bail by this Court. While granting bail, certain conditions were imposed on him. One of the conditions was that he shall not enter city of Mumbai and other condition was that of attending the Police Station nearest to the residence of the Applicant in New Delhi twice every month (i.e. Mehrauli Police Station). The applicant is seeking relaxation of the said conditions.

3. Mr.Mundargi submitted that so far as the first prayer i.e. not to enter into city of Mumbai is concerned, he is not pressing the first prayer at this stage and liberty is sought to prefer a separate application at a later stage in respect of the said prayer. Liberty as prayed for is granted. This application is now being considered only in respect of the second condition for relaxation i.e. attending the nearest Police Station to the residence of the Applicant in New Delhi twice every month (i.e. Mehrauli Police Station).

4. As far as the second condition i.e. attending the nearest Police Station to the residence of the Applicant in New Delhi twice every month (i.e. Mehrauli Police Station) is concerned, the learned A.P.P. on instructions, has stated that there is no objection to the relaxation of the said condition. Hence, the said condition is relaxed. It is made clear that the applicant need not report to Mehrauli Police Station, New Delhi.

5. Application is disposed of.

[Smt. V.K.Tahilramani, J.]